

WHEN YOU TRAVEL IN GREECE

TAKE ALONG A SLEEPING BAG TO KEEP OUT THE FLIES.

Don't Need It in Athens, Which Is Beautiful and Clean and as Modern as It Is Ancient—One A. Rude to Olympia.

Travel in Greece is full of surprises. There are so many unexpected comforts; not to mention a goodly number of discomforts for which one is equally unprepared. Look only for the tourist, the comforts may be embraced without too much expense and the discomforts avoided without too much trouble.

For the ordinary tourist Greece is still a country of one idea. The paraphrase of the Mohammedan says: "There is but one Greece and Athens is the whole thing." Sixty-nine out of a hundred tourists who visit the country plump themselves straight to Athens and then plump themselves straight out again. The hundredth tourist goes to Athens, of course; but he visits Olympia, too—Olympia, whence he returns as proud as a peacock. He has covered the length and breadth of tourist travel in Greece.

When people stay at home, some of them are hungry all the time and all of them are hungry some of the time. But when they are abroad, all of them are hungry all the time. Hunger is the normal condition of the tourist.

Consequently, it is a matter of importance that one of the comforts which Athens invites the traveler is a good hotel. There are three good hotels, in fact, gleaming cheerfully at one another from their posts in the principal square of the city. At one of them good rooms (with a view of the Acropolis) and a good table may be enjoyed for \$2 a day. One may go to the best hotel for \$3 a day. For a stay of longer than a week ask for better rates. You will get them.

English and French are spoken at all of these hotels, as well as at several pensions in the same neighborhood. There is another hotel, said to be excellent, but where one will find no English and little French. There will be more of a foreign flavor, however, and as for the modern Greek which is spoken, one soon finds a substitute in the language of signs.

When people reach Athens they must still have in their mouths the taste of either Italy, Egypt or Turkey. Three names which are synonymous for pictures in the mind are especially dear. With this taste in the mouth one rides to a hotel in Athens through a city of cream-colored stone, as fresh-looking as if it had been built yesterday, as indeed it was.

There is a sweep and garish look about the place, as if the Woman's Union for Civic Improvement or the Ladies' Municipal League were running the Street-Cleaning Department. Still it may be dusty except in the main streets. The writer was in Greece in March and the road between Athens and the Piræus was muffled in three inches of powder. But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Arrived at the hotel, the traveler will see from his window a square, less than half as large as Washington Square, and the French square, less than half as large as a blade of grass, but covered with a very fine gravel, not much coarser than sand. At one end of the square, raised terrace, and at the other, a plain facade of the royal palace. A military band plays on the terrace every morning.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

THE HUNTING OF M. LOUBET.

VACATION PASTIMES OF THE PRESIDENT OF FRANCE.

He Is an Enthusiastic Sportsman as Mr. Roosevelt, but Hunts Smaller Game—Simple Adventures in the Forest—The State Hunts at Marly.

President Loubet of France, like President Roosevelt, is an enthusiastic hunter, but there is a great difference in the hunting of the two men owing to the dissimilar nature of the two countries. By the side of the big game exploits of President Roosevelt, "Papa Emile," as the French President is familiarly called, has the air of a small boy throwing stones at garden sparrows from a catapult.

He has not at his disposal the vast spaces teeming with superb wild life that Mr. Roosevelt made his own in his early days. But in the way M. Loubet is just as enthusiastic a sportsman.

From early August till midwinter President Loubet rests from State ceremony and State work and foits it daily over miles of forest and plain, dealing destruction to the game of all kinds in which the State preserves are rich. At this moment his gun is certainly cracking persistently at Rambouillet or Marly, once the demesnes of the King of France, now the happy hunting grounds of the democratic President of the Third Republic.

He is within thirty miles of Paris, so that M. Loubet is within easy reach of the most frequented country, they are comfortable. The guards are polite and attentive, though perfectly unobtrusive. The country is very green and sometimes beautiful with a sort of glorious freshness which seems inconsistent with the fact.

Paris has sometimes a reminiscent dreaminess in the air, but it was not there when the writer was in Olympia, which should have been drowned in the shades of night, and the forest, however crisp and clear and fresh. The ruins were, to be sure, every crevice stuffed with wonderful memories. But earth, air and water, and the forest, however touched, breathed or looked upon them.

The traveler will carry away from Olympia four powerful impressions. The first is that of the ruins of Olympia, the second is the memory of the beautiful of the surviving wonders of Greek sculpture; the second is the memory of the beautiful of the surviving wonders of Greek sculpture; the second is the memory of the beautiful of the surviving wonders of Greek sculpture.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

THE HUNTING OF M. LOUBET.

VACATION PASTIMES OF THE PRESIDENT OF FRANCE.

He Is an Enthusiastic Sportsman as Mr. Roosevelt, but Hunts Smaller Game—Simple Adventures in the Forest—The State Hunts at Marly.

President Loubet of France, like President Roosevelt, is an enthusiastic hunter, but there is a great difference in the hunting of the two men owing to the dissimilar nature of the two countries. By the side of the big game exploits of President Roosevelt, "Papa Emile," as the French President is familiarly called, has the air of a small boy throwing stones at garden sparrows from a catapult.

He has not at his disposal the vast spaces teeming with superb wild life that Mr. Roosevelt made his own in his early days. But in the way M. Loubet is just as enthusiastic a sportsman.

From early August till midwinter President Loubet rests from State ceremony and State work and foits it daily over miles of forest and plain, dealing destruction to the game of all kinds in which the State preserves are rich. At this moment his gun is certainly cracking persistently at Rambouillet or Marly, once the demesnes of the King of France, now the happy hunting grounds of the democratic President of the Third Republic.

He is within thirty miles of Paris, so that M. Loubet is within easy reach of the most frequented country, they are comfortable. The guards are polite and attentive, though perfectly unobtrusive. The country is very green and sometimes beautiful with a sort of glorious freshness which seems inconsistent with the fact.

Paris has sometimes a reminiscent dreaminess in the air, but it was not there when the writer was in Olympia, which should have been drowned in the shades of night, and the forest, however crisp and clear and fresh. The ruins were, to be sure, every crevice stuffed with wonderful memories. But earth, air and water, and the forest, however touched, breathed or looked upon them.

The traveler will carry away from Olympia four powerful impressions. The first is that of the ruins of Olympia, the second is the memory of the beautiful of the surviving wonders of Greek sculpture; the second is the memory of the beautiful of the surviving wonders of Greek sculpture; the second is the memory of the beautiful of the surviving wonders of Greek sculpture.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

To the average tourist Athens is a surprise because it contains so few tangible remains of its glorious antiquity. One can see the acropolis, and splutters in amazement. But the average tourist is not an archaeologist. To him the Acropolis is not an archaeological site, but a place where one can see a view of the city.

But there is, nevertheless, a wonderfully vivid impression of cleanliness and of fairly crystalline clearness about the country and its atmosphere. One literally breathes again after futile but persistent attempts not to breathe in the stoniness of Italy—clear dirty Italy, the less beloved of the tourist than the less dirty Orient.

Below the hotel window, no matter what hotel window, a guard of little round men, armed with spidery iron chairs, seems about to march boldly across the square. In busy days, in fact, they do march. But on ordinary occasions they stand in a compact square at the edge. Gayly uniformed officers sit on the chairs and look on for tourists. They are not, however, anything which would turn an American back in the face. Somehow these little squires are too reminiscent of the sugar-and-water days of infancy to appeal to grown-up Americans.

WHERE OUR ENGINES EXCEL.

REASONS WHY AMERICAN LOCOMOTIVES GO ABOARD.

Their Superiority in Tractive Power as Marked Today as It Was Sixty-four Years Ago at a Memorable Test—Railroad Needs Here and in England.

The recent report of the Census Bureau on locomotives, which showed that in 1900 325 American-built machines were sold in foreign countries, as against 161 in 1890, seems to indicate that they are increasing in popularity in other countries. But the truth lies the other way, in a measure, at least.

They may like our locomotives better than any other in Mexico, in South America, in Japan and possibly in Russia, but they don't in England or Germany or France. In every one of these countries the engine drivers complain that American machines are wasteful of fuel, that it takes an inordinate lot of oil to keep their joints lubricated, that the workmanship on them is defective, that necessitating unusual and excessive repairs, and so on.

All of these complaints are well founded by any means, and are supported in some instances by sheer anatomy against American machines; but the fact remains that while American locomotives average up to the best, there are points, probably in which they are excelled by foreign machines, especially for use on foreign lines.

There is no doubt, for instance, that English locomotives are more carefully finished, and that often they can do the work required on an English railroad with less fuel consumption than the American machines.

Why, then, do the foreign railroads buy engines of American make? Because they are forced to do so or to get along without new locomotives for months and months after they are demanded by the traffic necessities of the roads. For American locomotive makers, like American bridge builders, have a habit of hustling at their work, and owing to certain manufacturing methods not practiced abroad, can turn out more work in less time than the locomotive makers of any other country on earth.

But the "price is right." Notwithstanding all the foreign criticisms of our railroads the American railroad system is by long odds the most efficient in the world. Its method of handling passengers is more economical, it has more fast trains and its passengers travel more comfortably. Only the most prejudiced of Englishmen or Germans or Frenchmen deny that.

But our freight carrying methods are immeasurably superior to those of the foreign roads. There isn't a railroad of any consequence in the United States today that could operate its freight department on the basis of freight operation abroad without incurring great danger of falling into a reversionary state of the per ton mile on any good American line is only a fraction of the ton mile cost on the best of the foreign lines. This, despite the fact that our roads show grades and curves that would be impossible of operation with railroad equipment that is considered quite adequate abroad.

We use heavier engines and bigger freight cars than anybody else. In the 50's our freight cars were eight wheelers and the capacity of the cars was figured at a ton to the wheel, eight tons each. Gradually the capacity of cars has increased till it averages nearly four times as much. Five years ago thirty tons was generally counted as a carload. Now most of the new cars carry forty tons each.

The big new steel cars will carry fifty tons a piece. When the eight-ton cars were in use fourteen cars were a load. The massive new freight locomotive of today will haul seventy-five of the new steel cars, loaded on the dead level, or sixty over the grades and curves of such a road as the New York Central or Pennsylvania.

American locomotives are the most economical in the world for hauling such heavy loads. In fact, the American locomotive is far away the best in the world. This was shown away back in 1858, when the first American locomotive, the "Baltimore and Annapolis," was tested on the Baltimore and Annapolis Railroad.

It was a test of the combined expenditures in improving American roads—cutting down grades, straightening curves and laying heavier rails and abolishing grade crossings. It will amount to a saving of millions of dollars, perhaps half a billion, a sum quite vast enough to bankrupt a score of the most important Old World lines.

It is with regard to roads. Originally the American roads were incomparably inferior to the English and European roads, but the roadbeds of the best American lines are now the finest in existence, and the system of grading them has hardly begun, though since 1867 nearly or quite a billion of dollars has been laid out.

This year the combined expenditures in improving American roads—cutting down grades, straightening curves and laying heavier rails and abolishing grade crossings. It will amount to a saving of millions of dollars, perhaps half a billion, a sum quite vast enough to bankrupt a score of the most important Old World lines.

It is with regard to roads. Originally the American roads were incomparably inferior to the English and European roads, but the roadbeds of the best American lines are now the finest in existence, and the system of grading them has hardly begun, though since 1867 nearly or quite a billion of dollars has been laid out.

This year the combined expenditures in improving American roads—cutting down grades, straightening curves and laying heavier rails and abolishing grade crossings. It will amount to a saving of millions of dollars, perhaps half a billion, a sum quite vast enough to bankrupt a score of the most important Old World lines.

It is with regard to roads. Originally the American roads were incomparably inferior to the English and European roads, but the roadbeds of the best American lines are now the finest in existence, and the system of grading them has hardly begun, though since 1867 nearly or quite a billion of dollars has been laid out.

This year the combined expenditures in improving American roads—cutting down grades, straightening curves and laying heavier rails and abolishing grade crossings. It will amount to a saving of millions of dollars, perhaps half a billion, a sum quite vast enough to bankrupt a score of the most important Old World lines.

It is with regard to roads. Originally the American roads were incomparably inferior to the English and European roads, but the roadbeds of the best American lines are now the finest in existence, and the system of grading them has hardly begun, though since 1867 nearly or quite a billion of dollars has been laid out.

This year the combined expenditures in improving American roads—cutting down grades, straightening curves and laying heavier rails and abolishing grade crossings. It will amount to a saving of millions of dollars, perhaps half a billion, a sum quite vast enough to bankrupt a score of the most important Old World lines.

It is with regard to roads. Originally the American roads were incomparably inferior to the English and European roads, but the roadbeds of the best American lines are now the finest in existence, and the system of grading them has hardly begun, though since 1867 nearly or quite a billion of dollars has been laid out.

This year the combined expenditures in improving American roads—cutting down grades, straightening curves and laying heavier rails and abolishing grade crossings. It will amount to a saving of millions of dollars, perhaps half a billion, a sum quite vast enough to bankrupt a score of the most important Old World lines.

WHERE OUR ENGINES EXCEL.

REASONS WHY AMERICAN LOCOMOTIVES GO ABOARD.

Their Superiority in Tractive Power as Marked Today as It Was Sixty-four Years Ago at a Memorable Test—Railroad Needs Here and in England.

The recent report of the Census Bureau on locomotives, which showed that in 1900 325 American-built machines were sold in foreign countries, as against 161 in 1890, seems to indicate that they are increasing in popularity in other countries. But the truth lies the other way, in a measure, at least.

They may like our locomotives better than any other in Mexico, in South America, in Japan and possibly in Russia, but they don't in England or Germany or France. In every one of these countries the engine drivers complain that American machines are wasteful of fuel, that it takes an inordinate lot of oil to keep their joints lubricated, that the workmanship on them is defective, that necessitating unusual and excessive repairs, and so on.

All of these complaints are well founded by any means, and are supported in some instances by sheer anatomy against American machines; but the fact remains that while American locomotives average up to the best, there are points, probably in which they are excelled by foreign machines, especially for use on foreign lines.

There is no doubt, for instance, that English locomotives are more carefully finished, and that often they can do the work required on an English railroad with less fuel consumption than the American machines.

Why, then, do the foreign railroads buy engines of American make? Because they are forced to do so or to get along without new locomotives for months and months after they are demanded by the traffic necessities of the roads. For American locomotive makers, like American bridge builders, have a habit of hustling at their work, and owing to certain manufacturing methods not practiced abroad, can turn out more work in less time than the locomotive makers of any other country on earth.

But the "price is right." Notwithstanding all the foreign criticisms of our railroads the American railroad system is by long odds the most efficient in the world. Its method of handling passengers is more economical, it has more fast trains and its passengers travel more comfortably. Only the most prejudiced of Englishmen or Germans or Frenchmen deny that.

But our freight carrying methods are immeasurably superior to those of the foreign roads. There isn't a railroad of any consequence in the United States today that could operate its freight department on the basis of freight operation abroad without incurring great danger of falling into a reversionary state of the per ton mile on any good American line is only a fraction of the ton mile cost on the best of the foreign lines. This, despite the fact that our roads show grades and curves that would be impossible of operation with railroad equipment that is considered quite adequate abroad.

We use heavier engines and bigger freight cars than anybody else. In the 50's our freight cars were eight wheelers and the capacity of the cars was figured at a ton to the wheel, eight tons each. Gradually the capacity of cars has increased till it averages nearly four times as much. Five years ago thirty tons was generally counted as a carload. Now most of the new cars carry forty tons each.

The big new steel cars will carry fifty tons a piece. When the eight-ton cars were in use fourteen cars were a load. The massive new freight locomotive of today will haul seventy-five of the new steel cars, loaded on the dead level, or sixty over the grades and curves of such a road as the New York Central or Pennsylvania.

American locomotives are the most economical in the world for hauling such heavy loads. In fact, the American locomotive is far away the best in the world. This was shown away back in 1858, when the first American locomotive, the "Baltimore and Annapolis," was tested on the Baltimore and Annapolis Railroad.

It was a test of the combined expenditures in improving American roads—cutting down grades, straightening curves and laying heavier rails and abolishing grade crossings. It will amount to a saving of millions of dollars, perhaps half a billion, a sum quite vast enough to bankrupt a score of the most important Old World lines.

</